FAX NO. :19082220706

Oct. 31 2005 02:52PM P1

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 3 1 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: ECDC-US CUSTOMER NO: 36038

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: M. Seul et al.)
0-3-11- 00/440 400) Group Art Unit: 1639
Serial No. 09/448,420) Examiner: P. Ponnaluri
Filed: 11/22/1999	į
For: Color-Encoding and in-situ)
Interrogation of Matrix-Coupled)
Chemical Compounds)

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Motion for an Interim Order that the Examiner Comply with MPEP Section 1208(A)10, subparts (c) and (e), and Compare "Feature by Feature" at Least One Claim on Appeal with the Cited Art

Dear Sir.

The Examiner in this matter, Ms. Ponnaluri, has over a two year period engaged in a series of misrepresentations, inactions and/or deliberate gaming of the system in the PTO, in an attempt to prevent this matter from being reviewed on appeal.

In one of the more recent examples of this conduct (as noted in a paper filed by the undersigned on 12/14/2004, entitled "Request for Status Update") the Examiner indicated to the Undersigned that she was not aware why on 9/15/2004 – after this matter had been docketed for appeal on 7/16/2004 – it had been taken off the docket and returned to the Examiner. The Examiner also stated at that time that she did not know where the file was, and that it could not be returned to the Board for review as it could not be located (all as noted in the paper filed on 12/14/2004). It is clear from the Office Action of 1/26/2005, that the Examiner had previously indicated to the Board that a Supplemental Examiner's Answer had been filed – when that was not so – and for that reason the matter was returned to her from the Board. It has also come to the Undersigned's attention since filing the Request for Status Update on12/14/2004, that the Board does not, and did not require, a "file" in order to docket a matter for appeal. The Examiner's statements to the Undersigned that it could not be docketed without "finding the file" were false, designed to keep the Undersigned from vigorously pursuing the status of the matter, and thereby keeping this matter from the Board's review.

The Undersigned was subsequently assured, after additional messages and phone calls to the Examiner and to her supervisor, Mr. Andrew Wang, that the file was "found" and the matter had been properly returned to the Board and would proceed to appeal. Next, on 2/18/2003, the Undersigned received an Action in which it was noted at the composition of the Co

Respectfully submitted,

Correscondence Address:: Bioarrey Solutions 35 Technology Drive Warren New Jersey 07059 Telephone 908 226 8200 Ext 203 Facsimile: 908 226 0800